

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 RONNIE O. BROWN,

No. 2:17-cv-0537 AC P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 JIM McDONALD, Sheriff,

15 Respondent.
16

17 Petitioner, a county inmate proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. This
19 court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

20 Petitioner is presently incarcerated at Twin Towers Correctional Facility in Los Angeles
21 County and he is serving a sentence for a conviction rendered by the Los Angeles County
22 Superior Court. The general rule with regard to habeas applications is that both the United States
23 District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted and the District Court where
24 petitioner is incarcerated have jurisdiction over the claims. Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court,
25 410 U.S. 484 (1973). In the instant case, both petitioner's conviction and his place of
26 incarceration occurred in an area covered by the District Court for the Central District of
27 California. Moreover, though styled as a habeas petition, petitioner also appears to be
28 complaining about the conditions of his confinement. Since he is currently incarcerated in Los


1 Angeles County, the Central District would also have jurisdiction over these complaints.

2 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and

4 2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of
5 California.

6 DATED: March 24, 2017

7 
8 ALLISON CLAIRE
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28